

OBJECTIVE:

Diabetes and outpatient diabetes treatment regimen have been identified as risk factors for poor outcome in patients with sepsis. However, little is known about the effect of a tight inpatient glycemic control in the setting of COVID-19. Therefore, we examined the effect of hyperglycemia in diabetic subjects hospitalized because of COVID-19.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS:

We analyzed data from 1,938 diabetic COVID-19 patients hospitalized from March to May 2020 at a large academic medical center in New York City. Patients were divided into two groups based on their inpatient glycemic values and a Cox proportional hazards regression model was used to assess the independent association of inpatient glucose levels with mortality (primary outcome) and the risk of requiring mechanical ventilation (MV, secondary outcome).

RESULTS:

In our analysis, 32% of the patients were normoglycemic and 68% hyperglycemic. Moreover, 31% of the study subjects died during hospitalization and 14% required MV, with inpatient hyperglycemia being significantly associated with both mortality and the requirement for MV. Additionally, in the Cox regression analysis, after adjustment for potential confounders including age, gender, race, BMI, HbA_{1C}, comorbidities, inflammatory markers, and corticosteroid therapy, patients with uncontrolled hyperglycemia had a higher risk of dying (hazard ratio [HR] 1.54, 95% confidence interval [CI] 1-2.36, P = 0.049) and of requiring MV (HR 4.41, 95% Cl 1.52-2.81, P = 0.006) than those with normoglycemia.

CONCLUSIONS:

A tight control of inpatient hyperglycemia may be an effective method for improving outcomes in diabetic patients with COVID-19.

In-hospital Hyperglycemia is Associated with Worse Outcomes in Patients Admitted with COVID-19

Angela Lombardi

Department of Medicine, Institute for Clinical and Translational Research, Fleischer Institute for Diabetes and Metabolism, Einstein-Mount Sinai Diabetes Research Center, Albert Einstein College of Medicine and Montefiore Medical Center, New York, NY, USA

VARIABLE	Total (n = 1,938)	Normoglycemic	Hyperglycemic	
		[glucose ≤ 180 mg/dl]	[glucose ≥ 180 mg/dl]	
		(n = 622)	(n = 1,316)	
Demographic characteristics				
Age (years)	68.08 ± 13.7	67.27 ± 14.71	68.46 ± 13.18	
Gender				
Male	963 (49.69) 975 (50.31)	291 (46.78) 331 (53.22)	672 (51.06) 644 (48.94)	
Race	775 (50.51)	551 (55.22)		
White	195 (18.77)	76 (21.47)	119 (17.37)	
Black	789 (75.94)	266(75.14) 12(2.20)	523 (76.35)	
Fthnicity	55 (5.29)	12 (3.39)	43 (0.28)	
Spanish/Hispanic/Latino	691 (39.64)	207 (36.77)	484 (41.02)	
Not Spanish/Hispanic/Latino	1,052 (60.36)	356 (63.23)	696 (58.98)	
Insurance				
Medicaid Medicare	827 (42.78) 915 (47.34)	260 (41.80) 290 (46.62)	260 (41.80) 625 (47.67)	
Commercial	191 (9.88)	72 (11.58)	119 (9.08)	
Clinical characteristics and laboratory				
parameters				
BMI (Kg/m ²)	29.92 ± 7.25	30.48 ± 0.3	29.65 ± 0.2	
HbA _{1c} (%)	7.26 ± 1.95	6.05 ± 0.76	7.85 ± 2.07	
CRP (mg/L)	10.9 (4.9, 19.2)	7.6 (3.7, 14.7)	12.7 (5.8, 21)	
D-dimer (µg/ml)	1,74 (0.89, 3.34)	1,31 (0.7, 2.5)	1,74 (1.01, 3.62)	
Comorbidities				
Hyperiension				
No	318 (16.41)	122 (19.61)	196 (14.89)	
Yes	1,620 (83.59)	500 (80.39)	1,120 (85.11)	
Previous Stroke	1 255 (50 10)			
No	1,355 (79.19)	429 (80.94)	926 (78.41)	
Y es	356 (20.81)	101 (19.06)	255 (21.59)	
Coronary artery disease	1 206 (62 23)	<i>A</i> 16 (66 88)	790 (60 03)	
INU Ves	732(37.77)	206(33.12)	526 (39 97)	
Perinheral artery disease	152 (51.11)	200 (55.12)	520 (57.77)	
No	1.757 (90.66)	594 (95.50)	1.163 (88.37)	
Yes	181 (9.34)	28 (4.50)	153 (11.63)	
Chronic kidney disease	× /		× /	
· No	1,305 (67.34)	452 (72.67)	853 (64.82)	
Yes	633 (32.66)	170 (27.33)	463 (35.18)	

Table 1: Characteristics of study participants: total and stratified by inpatient glycemic values. Lab results represent those obtained on admission to the hospital. Data presented as median (IQR: 25th percentile, 75th percentile) for non-normally distributed continuous variables and as mean ± standard deviation for continuous variables normally distributed; n (%) reported for categorical variables. HbA_{1c}: most recent glycated hemoglobin: CRP: C-Reactive Protein.

HR	95% CI		P for trend
	Lower	Upper	
1.54	1.00	2.36	0.049
1.03	0.97	1.02	1.05
0.95	0.69	1.31	0.77
0.83	0.63	1.09	0.17
0.98	0.001	0.95	1.02
0.97	0.38	1.04	0.786
0.7	0.37	1.34	0.28
1.23	0.93	1.62	0.14
1.54	1.08	2.19	0.02
1.03	1.01	1.04	0.001
0.99	0.96	1.03	0.66
1.2	0.89	1.61	0.23
	HR 1.54 1.03 0.95 0.83 0.98 0.97 0.7 1.23 1.54 1.03 0.99 1.2	HR95%Lower1.541.001.030.970.950.690.830.630.980.0010.970.380.70.371.230.931.541.081.031.010.990.961.20.89	HR95% CILowerUpper 1.54 1.00 2.36 1.03 0.97 1.02 0.95 0.69 1.31 0.83 0.63 1.09 0.98 0.001 0.95 0.97 0.38 1.04 0.7 0.37 1.34 1.23 0.93 1.62 1.54 1.08 2.19 1.03 1.01 1.04 0.99 0.96 1.03 1.2 0.89 1.61

Table 2: Cox proportional hazards model assessing the effect of inpatient hyperglycemia **on mortality adjusting for potential confounders**. HbA_{1c}: most recent glycated hemoglobin; **CRP:** C-Reactive Protein.

Hyperglycen Gender Race **BMI** HbA_1 Hypertensio Chronic kid Chronic ob CRP D-dimer Corticosteroi

 Table 3: Cox proportional hazards model assessing the effect of inpatient hyperglycemia
on mechanical ventilation adjusting for potential confounders. HbA_{1c}: most recent glycated hemoglobin; CRP: C-Reactive Protein.

Vontefiore THE UNIVERSITY HOSPITAL **Division of Hospital Medicine Division of General Internal Medicine**



Figure 1: Primary (A) and secondary (B) outcomes by inpatient glycemic values in diabetic patients hospitalized with COVID-19. *** P < 0.001.

	HR	95% CI		P for trend
		Lower	Upper	
ia	4.41	1.52	2.81	0.006
	0.99	0.97	1.01	0.238
	1.54	0.94	2.53	0.09
	0.77	0.50	1.16	0.21
	0.94	0.89	1	0.04
	1.00	0.89	1.12	0.21
n	0.71	0.25	1.97	0.51
ney disease	1.25	0.81	1.93	0.31
structive pulmonary disease	1.55	0.86	2.79	0.15
	1.03	1.01	1.04	0.001
	0.98	0.93	1.04	0.46
d therapy	1.71	1.06	2.78	0.03

FUNDING:

This research was supported in part by the National Institutes of Health (NIH grants: KL2TR002558 and part of 2P30DK020541-45) as well as by the Diabetes Action and Education Foundation. None of the sources of funding have an interest in the subject matter or materials discussed in this poster.



